UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,192	09/15/2003	Paul Freidlund	2307001US1AP	9366	
27542 SAND & SEB(	590 05/03/2007 EXAMIN		INER		
	R, SUITE 1100		MILLER, BENA B		
4940 MUNSON CANTON, OH	N STREET, NW 44718-3615		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3725		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	١	ì		
١	ł	1		
L	ı		-	

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/662,192	FREIDLUND, PAUL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bena Miller	3725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) N , cause the application to become	NICATION.  a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
_	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-27 and 31-40</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	**					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-27 and 31-40</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · ·	•				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
· 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies n	ot received.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	John John Market	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	f Informal Patent Application				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)  Office Act	tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20070428				

Art Unit: 3725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 21-27 and 31-40 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Re claim 21, the subject matter "a rear plate.....extending orthogonally upwardly away from the bottom plate" and an alignment member carried by one of the rear plates and extending into the trough and having first and second faces offset from each other adapted to align for aligning the pair of work pieces with the grooves in the bottom plate, is not supported by the original specification and therefore, now constitute New Matter.

Claims 21-27 and 31-40 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Re claim 21, the specification does not clearly provide the structure for the alignment member carried by the rear plate extending into the trough and having first and second faces offset from each other. It appears from the disclosed

Art Unit: 3725

specification that the alignment member 80 carried by the bottom plate will extend into the trough (Note p. 6, par. 2 – p. 7, par. 1 of the disclosed specification).

Claims 21-27 and 31-40 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 21, it is not clear whether the rear plate extends orthogonally upwardly away from the bottom plate. Further, it is not clear whether the alignment member carried by the rear plate extends into the trough and having first and second faces offset from each other.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 21, 23-27, 31 and 38 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gibson (US Patent 5,285,832).

The device of Gibson reads on the limitations of the claimed device including a bottom plate (18), a plurality of grooves (fig. 1 and 2), a rear plate (16), an alignment member (combination of 2, 4, 5; It should be noted that the device of Gibson is capable of aligning a pair of work pieces), an alignment bar (4, 5), a first adjustment mechanism (4a), a first and second face (4-first and 5-second; It should be noted that each of the faces of 4 and 5 is capable of contacting a work piece. It should also be noted that the

Art Unit: 3725

claim does not positively claim the jig and the work pieces in combination), a second adjustment mechanism (5a), a front plate (11) and a post (20 via 18).

Claims 21 and 22 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hanks (US Patent 4,966,507).

The device of Hank reads on the limitations of the claimed device including a bottom plate (12), a plurality of grooves (22), a rear plate (14), an alignment member (96, 98) and at least one handle (64).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 34-37 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanks.

Hanks teach most of the limitations of the claimed invention except for at least one marker corresponds to at least one groove. Hanks teach that it is well known to provide markings on a jig in order to assist woodworkers in the proper alignment of a work piece (col. 4, lines 55-68). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide markings on the device of Hanks for the purpose of assisting woodworkers in the proper alignment of a work piece.

Art Unit: 3725

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Claims 32, 33, 39 and 40 are finally objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3725

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bena Miller whose telephone number is 571.272.4427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bena Miller Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

Bbm April 28, 2007